TRANSFERPRICING LAWREVIEW

SIXTH EDITION

EditorsSteve Edge and Dominic Robertson

ELAWREVIEWS

TRANSFER | PRICING LAW | REVIEW

SIXTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in June 2022 For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editors

Steve Edge and Dominic Robertson

ELAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER Clare Bolton

HEAD OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT Nick Barette

TEAM LEADER Katie Hodgetts

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Rebecca Mogridge

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS
Joey Kwok and Juan Hincapie

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATE Archie McEwan

> RESEARCH LEAD Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Isabelle Gray

PRODUCTION AND OPERATIONS DIRECTOR
Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR Felicia Rosas

> SUBEDITOR Janina Godowska

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London Meridian House, 34–35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK © 2022 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at June 2022, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – clare.bolton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-80449-085-3

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

C

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ARENDT & MEDERNACH

ATLAS TAX LAWYERS

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

BMR LEGAL ADVOCATES

CMS PORTUGAL

DDTC

FLICK GOCKE SCHAUMBURG

HERZOG FOX & NEEMAN LAW OFFICES

LENZ & STAEHELIN

MATHESON

NAGASHIMA OHNO & TSUNEMATSU

PINHEIRO NETO

SCORDIS, PAPAPETROU & CO LLC

SLAUGHTER AND MAY

STUDIO LEGALE E TRIBUTARIO BISCOZZI NOBILI PIAZZA

CONTENTS

PREFACE		v
Steve Edge and	l Dominic Robertson	
Chapter 1	BRAZIL	1
	Luciana Rosanova Galhardo and Felipe Cerrutti Balsimelli	
Chapter 2	CANADA	11
	Jeffrey Shafer	
Chapter 3	CYPRUS	22
	Kyriacos Scordis and Costas Michail	
Chapter 4	GERMANY	34
	Sven-Eric Bärsch and Vassil Tcherveniachki	
Chapter 5	INDIA	50
	Mukesh Butani and Seema Kejriwal	
Chapter 6	INDONESIA	64
	Romi Irawan and Yusuf Wangko Ngantung	
Chapter 7	IRELAND	76
	Joe Duffy, Catherine O'Meara and Anna Crowley	
Chapter 8	ISRAEL	90
	Eyal Bar-Zvi	
Chapter 9	ITALY	105
	Franco Pozzi, Stefano Grossi, Luca Consalter and Pierangelo Baffa	
Chapter 10	JAPAN	120
	Shigeki Minami	

Contents

Chapter 11	LUXEMBOURG	133
	Alain Goebel and Danny Beeton	
Chapter 12	NETHERLANDS Taco Wiertsema and Pauline Thio	145
Chapter 13	PORTUGALSusana Estêvão Gonçalves	157
Chapter 14	SWITZERLAND Jean-Blaise Eckert and Jenny Benoit-Gonin	172
Chapter 15	UNITED KINGDOM Steve Edge, Dominic Robertson and Tom Gilliver	182
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	197
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS	207

PREFACE

It has been a great pleasure to edit this sixth edition of *The Transfer Pricing Law Review*. This publication aims to give readers a high-level overview of the principal transfer pricing rules in each country covered in the *Review*. Each chapter summarises the country's substantive transfer pricing rules, explains how a transfer pricing dispute is handled, from initial scrutiny through to litigation or settlement, and discusses the interaction between transfer pricing and other parts of the tax code (such as withholding taxes, customs duties and attempts to prevent double taxation).

Other than Brazil, all the countries covered in this *Review* apply an arm's-length standard and adhere, at least to some extent, to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Transfer Pricing Guidelines (the OECD Guidelines); and Brazil itself is considering aligning its TP rules with the OECD norm by 2024. However, as the chapters make clear, there remains significant divergence, both in countries' interpretation of the arm's-length standard (e.g., the transactions it applies to, the pricing methods preferred and whether secondary adjustments are imposed) and in the administration of the rules (e.g., the documentation requirements imposed and the availability of advance pricing agreements). Therefore, transfer pricing practitioners cannot simply assume that the OECD Guidelines contain all the answers but must engage with their detailed application within each country.

Given their economic importance, transfer pricing rules will be high on the corporate tax agenda (and the broader political agenda) for many years to come, and they are continuing to evolve at a rapid pace. Over the next few years, we expect the following to be among the main areas of focus.

First, as many of the chapters make clear, litigation over transfer pricing disputes is expected to increase (almost) everywhere over the next few years, as tax authorities become more confident in their interpretation of transfer pricing guidelines, and ever more alert to public pressure to make big business pay its 'fair share'. Some countries have a long record of transfer pricing litigation, and have resolved many of the procedural hurdles in asking a court to rule on exactly where value is created in a multinational; for example, the approach to handling (often conflicting) expert evidence, and the challenge of developing factual evidence in a proportionate but comprehensive way. However, it is clear that asking for a ruling results in lengthy – and costly – hearings before the tax tribunals, and many other countries will find themselves grappling with transfer pricing litigation for the first time soon.

Second, some of these disputes will concern the extent to which transfer pricing can be used to recharacterise transactions, rather than merely to adjust the pricing of transactions. For example, the German courts have recently held that transfer pricing rules are not limited to pricing adjustments alone; and Ireland introduced rules that enable the Irish Revenue

to impose a 'substance over form principle. In contrast, the Canadian courts ruled, in the *Cameco* case, that TP recharacterisation was permitted only where the underlying transactions were 'commercially irrational'.

Third, many countries are strengthening the requirements for contemporaneous transfer pricing documentation, either aligning with the OECD master file or local file model (as in Israel and Portugal), or potentially going beyond this (as the United Kingdom has proposed).

Finally, the OECD/G20 project to address the tax consequences of digitalisation continues to progress apace (at least within the OECD itself), with consultations on aspects of the two pillars being launched (seemingly) weekly, normally with absurdly short deadlines for comments. In particular, Pillar One marks a pivot away from the arm's-length standard for large and highly profitable multinationals, under which a portion of their profits (above a 10 per cent hurdle rate) would automatically be reallocated to market jurisdictions. This would, of course, be a radical shift away from the traditional arm's-length standard, but the arm's-length principle will continue to play a crucial role for large businesses and tax authorities. First, it is not yet clear whether Pillar One, in particular, will ever become law, and the prospects of the US Congress approving it seem limited in the current political circumstances there. Furthermore, even if (or where) Pillar One becomes law, the arm's-length standard will continue to apply (1) to the vast majority of businesses that fall outside the reallocation rule, either because of size or profit margins; and (2) to the majority of the profits of those businesses that are subject to the reallocation rule.

We would like to thank the authors of all of the country chapters for their comprehensive and illuminating analysis of each country's transfer pricing rules; and the publishing team at Law Business Research for their diligence and enthusiasm in commissioning, coordinating and compiling this *Review*.

Steve Edge and Dominic Robertson

Slaughter and May London June 2022

Chapter 7

IRELAND

Joe Duffy, Catherine O'Meara and Anna Crowley¹

I OVERVIEW

Formal transfer pricing legislation was introduced in Ireland for the first time through the Finance Act 2010 for accounting periods commencing on or after 1 January 2011. Ireland's transfer pricing legislation is set out in Part 35A of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 (TCA).² The Irish transfer pricing legislation was substantially updated in the Finance Act 2019,³ with effect from 1 January 2020.

Before the introduction of transfer pricing legislation in 2010, there were limited circumstances in which an 'arm's-length' or 'market-value' rule applied in Irish tax legislation. However, there was certainly some familiarity with the concept. For example, capital gains tax rules always required the imposition of market value on certain transactions undertaken otherwise by means of a bargain and arm's length; ⁴ interest in excess of a 'reasonable commercial return' may be reclassified as a distribution; ⁵ and, historically, income or losses qualifying for the (no longer applicable) 10 per cent corporation tax rate for manufacturing operations were calculated as they would for 'independent parties dealing at arm's length'. ⁶

The transfer pricing legislation introduced in 2010 certainly broadened the scope of application of transfer pricing in Irish tax legislation, and the changes in the Finance Act 2019 further broadened the scope of the rules. As might be expected, where the transfer pricing rules apply, an arm's-length amount should be substituted for the actual consideration in computing taxable profits. The arm's-length amount is the consideration that independent parties would have agreed in relation to the arrangement in question. The transfer pricing legislation applies equally to domestic and international arrangements but does not apply to small and medium-sized enterprises, pending implementation by Ministerial Order that will extend the scope to medium-sized enterprises.

Irish tax legislation requires that the profits or gains of a trade carried on by a company must be computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting practice subject to any

¹ Joe Duffy and Catherine O'Meara are partners and Anna Crowley is a senior associate at Matheson.

² Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 (as amended up to the Finance Act 2021).

³ Section 27 Finance Act 2019. Further updates to the transfer pricing legislation were introduced in the Finance Act 2020 and the Finance Act 2021.

⁴ Section 547 TCA.

⁵ Section 130 TCA.

⁶ Section 453 TCA. Deleted by the Finance Act 2012, Section 54.

⁷ Section 835C TCA.

⁸ Section 835E TCA.

adjustment required or authorised by law. Therefore, Irish transfer pricing legislation may result in an adjustment to the accounting profits for tax purposes. Where a transaction is undertaken at undervalue this may be a deemed distribution by the company for Company Law purposes, and if the company does not have distributable reserves this may be an unlawful distribution by the company.

The Finance Act 2019 substantially reformed the Irish transfer pricing legislation introduced in the Finance Act 2010, and addressed the various shortcomings in the legislation that had been identified as part of an independent review. The key reforms introduced in Section 27 of the Finance Act 2019 can be summarised as follows.

First, transactions the terms of which were agreed before 1 July 2010 are no longer 'grandfathered' or excluded from Irish transfer pricing legislation. Practically, the expectation of the Irish Revenue Commissioners (Irish Revenue) was that the grandfathering of transactions predating 1 July 2010 would have been lost through the passage of time, where actual trading relationships change, even though contractual terms may not. Nevertheless, this will mean that taxpayers must prepare supporting transfer pricing documentation for previously excluded transactions.

Second, the transfer pricing legislation now applies to non-trading transactions as well as trading transactions. Previously, the transfer pricing legislation only applied to profits or losses arising from the relevant activities that were taxed at the 12.5 per cent rate of corporation tax as the profits of a trade or profession. 10 Now, non-trading transactions that would be subject to the 25 per cent corporation tax rate may be subject to the arm's-length requirement. This is particularly relevant where an Irish company has granted an interest-free loan or royalty-free licence other than in the course of a trading activity. Now, the interest or royalty charged must be arm's length and supported by relevant documentation. There was some concern about possible negative tax arbitrage in respect of non-trade loans between Irish companies where interest income would be taxable at 25 per cent and interest paid as part of a trade would be deductible at 12.5 per cent. However, the Finance Act 2019 provided for an exclusion from the transfer pricing legislation for certain Irish-to-Irish transactions. The exemption, as introduced in the Finance Act 2019, gave rise to interpretative difficulties in relation to its application. In addition, Irish Revenue guidance did not include significant detail on the Irish-to-Irish exemption nor did it address interpretative issues. A number of amendments to the Irish-to-Irish exemption were included within the Finance Act 2020; however, as these too gave rise to interpretative difficulties, they ultimately were never commenced. More recently, the Finance Act 2021 addressed some of the interpretative difficulties for chargeable periods commencing on or after 1 January 2022.

The treatment of Irish-to-Irish transactions has a separate rule as a result of Ireland's dual-rate system. Ireland operates two corporation tax rates: a 12.5 per cent rate applies to trading transactions and a 25 per cent rate applies to non-trading transactions. For example, interest on an intercompany balance could be taxable at 25 per cent as non-trading income in one group company and deductible at 12.5 per cent (or not at all) in another group company. Therefore, the rule for Irish-to-Irish transactions ensures that the transfer pricing legislation does not give rise to negative tax arbitrage within the Irish tax system.

⁹ Section 76A TCA.

¹⁰ Section 835C TCA.

Accordingly, for Irish-to-Irish transactions:

- the transfer pricing rules will not apply to the transaction if both Irish resident parties enter the transaction otherwise than in the course of a trade (i.e., the profits, gains or losses of the supplier and acquirer arising from the relevant activities are chargeable to tax under Case III, IV or V of Schedule D (non-trading income) but not Case I or II (trading income)). For accounting periods commencing on or after 1 January 2022, it is sufficient that, where no consideration is payable or receivable under the transaction, that the supplier and acquirer would be chargeable to tax under Case III, IV or V of Schedule D if any consideration were paid or received under the transaction);
- b if one party enters the transaction in the course of a trade, the transfer pricing rules will apply to that party in respect of the transaction, but the rules permit an upward adjustment of profits only (similar to the existing rules); and
- where an Irish party enters into a transaction with another Irish party otherwise than in the course of a trade, the transfer pricing rules can still apply if that Irish-to-Irish transaction is connected to another transaction with a non-resident party and the main purpose of the Irish-to-Irish transaction is to obtain a tax advantage.

It is not a blanket exemption on all transactions and must be considered on a case-bycase basis.

Third, the transfer pricing legislation has been extended to capital transactions (including assets and intangible assets) where the market value of the assets or capital expenditure is greater than €25 million. There are a number of exemptions to the application to capital transactions, in particular where the disposal is treated for the purposes of Irish tax legislation as being at no gain, no loss (e.g., group transaction or reorganisation relief). It is arguable that this change is not significant given that Irish tax legislation did previously provide for an open market value rule on capital transactions between connected persons. However, this requirement is seen as particularly relevant to taxpayers ensuring there is adequate documentary evidence prepared in accordance with OECD guidelines.

Fourth, the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Tax Administrations (2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines) have been explicitly referenced so that the Irish transfer pricing legislation must be interpreted in so far as is practicable in a manner that is consistent with the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines as supplemented by:

- a the Guidance for Tax Administrations on the Application of the Approach to Hard-to-Value Intangibles;¹¹
- b the Revised Guidance on the Application of the Transactional Profit Split Method; ¹² and
- such additional guidance, published by the OECD on or after the date of the passing of the Finance Act 2019, as may be designated by the Minister for Finance. In this regard, the Transfer Pricing Guidance on Financial Transactions published by the OECD in February 2020 were incorporated into Irish transfer pricing legislation with effect from 8 December 2021.¹³

BEPS Actions 8–10, OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Project, OECD, Paris – approved on 4 June 2018 by the group known as the Inclusive Framework on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting.

¹² Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Actions 8–10, ibid.

¹³ Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Actions 4, 8–10.

This means that as from 1 January 2020 due consideration must be given to development, enhancement, maintenance, protection and exploitation (DEMPE) functionality in pricing intangibles transactions between Irish taxpayers and associated companies resident in non-tax treaty partner countries. Previously, the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines were only relevant as an interpretation aid to the arm's-length principle under Ireland's double tax treaties.

Fifth, Irish transfer pricing is now more prescriptive regarding the form of transfer pricing documentation and the timing for production of such documentation. Specifically, there is now an obligation to prepare a master file and a local file in accordance with Annex I and Annex II of the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines where the requisite financial thresholds are exceeded and such documentation must be prepared at the time at which the corporation tax return is due to be filed. Furthermore, specific penalties have been introduced for failure to provide the necessary documentation when requested.

Sixth, the transfer pricing legislation previously excluded small and medium-sized enterprises. Going forward, only small enterprises will be excluded (less than 50 employees and annual turnover or assets of less than €10 million). However, commencement of this provision is subject to Ministerial Order and in the meantime medium-sized, as well as small, enterprises remain exempt.¹⁴

Seventh, the transfer pricing legislation now includes explicit 'substance over form' provisions whereby Irish Revenue may consider the substance of a transaction where the substance differs from what has been agreed in writing. In addition, Irish Revenue are expressly permitted to recharacterise transactions entered into by associated enterprises if such transaction would not have been entered into by parties acting at arm's length.

In addition to the changes introduced by the Finance Act 2019, the recent Finance Act 2021 introduced into Irish law the application of the OECD development mechanisms (the Authorised OECD Approach) for the attribution of income to a permanent establishment of a non-resident company operating in Ireland for accounting periods commencing on or after 1 January 2022. Prior to the Finance Act 2021, the general rule was that, once a trade was carried on in Ireland through a branch or agency, all the profits arising from the contracts or services were taxable.

II FILING REQUIREMENTS

Prior to the Finance Act 2019, there was very little legislation detailing the documentation requirements for transfer pricing purposes. Irish Revenue had issued guidance on the expectations regarding transfer pricing documentation, ¹⁵ which endorsed (though did not make binding) the EU Council code of conduct entitled 'EU Transfer Pricing Documentation' and Chapter V of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines as representing good practice.

However, there is now an obligation that valid transfer pricing documentation should comprise such records as may reasonably be required to support the pricing.

¹⁴ The 2021 Update to Ireland's Corporation Tax Roadmap noted that given the uncertainties and challenges faced by SMEs as a result of both Brexit and covid-19, there are currently no plans to extend the transfer pricing rules in this manner.

Transfer Pricing Documentation Obligations Part 35a-01-02, August 2017 (now replaced by Transfer Pricing, Part 35A-01-01, February 2021).

Documentation should include:

- a master file (in accordance with Annex I of Chapter V of the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines) where the taxpayer is part of a multinational group with revenues in excess of €250 million; and
- b a local file (in accordance with Annex II of Chapter V of the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines) where the taxpayer is part of a multinational group with revenues in excess of €50 million.¹⁶

There is now an obligation that the transfer pricing documentation be prepared by the time the taxpayer is obliged to deliver its corporation tax return for the period (typically the 21st day of the ninth month following the accounting period end). Furthermore, the documentation must be provided to Irish Revenue within 30 days of a request being made.

There are new transfer pricing penalty provisions for failure to comply with the documentation requirements, though timely preparation and delivery (upon request) of reasonable transfer pricing documentation should protect a taxpayer from penalties even if a transfer pricing adjustment is subsequently made.

Ireland has introduced legislation to implement country-by-country reporting requirements.¹⁷ The Irish country-by-country reporting closely mirrors the OECD model legislation and relies on it for certain definitions. It should be noted that there are some differences between the OECD model legislation and the Irish country-by-country reporting legislation. Primarily in relation to options to appoint a surrogate parent entity or EU designated entity to provide the country-by-country report on behalf of the multinational group. Where there is a conflict, the Irish legislation takes precedence. Currently, there is no Irish legislation in respect of public country-by-country reporting, though this is an area of interest to the European Commission and ultimately may be introduced as an EU directive.

III PRESENTING THE CASE

i Pricing methods

As mentioned above, the Irish transfer pricing legislation states that in computing the taxable profits and losses of a taxpayer, the legislation shall be interpreted to 'ensure, as far as practicable, consistency' with the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines. The Irish transfer pricing rules do not prescribe any preferred transfer pricing methodology or methodologies. Provided the methodology is appropriate in the circumstances and adheres to general OECD principles, it should be acceptable. Therefore the identification of the most appropriate transfer pricing method, either traditional transaction methods (CUP, resale price and cost-plus) or a transactional profit method (transactional net margin and transactional profit split), and the application of that method should be in accordance with the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines.

Irish Revenue have previously published guidance on a simplified approach in respect of low-value intra-group services.¹⁸ These guidelines have effectively been replaced by Section D Chapter VII of the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines, although, in effect,

¹⁶ Section 835G.

¹⁷ Section 891H TCA and Taxes (Country-by-Country Reporting) Regulations 2016.

¹⁸ Guidelines on Low Value Intra-Group Services, Part 35A-01-03, March 2018 (now replaced by Part 35A-01-03, February 2021 for chargeable periods commencing before 1 January 2020).

the outcome is the same as before. In summary, where a cost-based method is determined to be the most appropriate transfer pricing method for determining an arm's-length price for low-value intra-group services, Irish Revenue are prepared to accept a markup of 5 per cent of the relevant cost base without the requirement for a benchmarking study where the requirements of Section D Chapter VII are satisfied. First, each year the multinational should calculate a pool of all costs incurred by all members of the group in performing each category of low-value-adding intra-group services. Second, costs incurred that benefit one other group member only are excluded. Third, costs are allocated among the pool under an appropriate allocation key in accordance with the benefit received by that group member. The appropriate markup of 5 per cent is applied to the relevant cost.

Low-value intra-group services are services performed by entities within a multinational group for other entities within the same group and are typically administrative, routine and supportive services that are ancillary to the main business and do not involve valuable intangibles or risk for the service provider. In accordance with OECD Guidelines, Irish Revenue are prepared to accept a markup of 5 per cent of the cost base without a requirement for a benchmarking study to be carried out by the taxpayer to support this rate.

However, supporting documentation is required and must include the following information:

- a a description of the services provided or received;
- b the identity of the recipient or provider of the service;
- c an explanation of why the services are considered to be low-value services;
- d the rationale for the provision or receipt of the services;
- e a description of the benefits of each category of services;
- an explanation and justification of the cost identification and allocation key chosen;
- g confirmation of the markup applied;
- *h* written contracts, and any amendments to the same, for the provision of services;
- *i* calculations of the final fee charged showing the calculation of the cost base, the application of the allocation key to that cost base and the application of the markup to the apportioned cost base;
- *j* confirmation that shareholder costs and duplicate costs have been excluded from the cost base; and
- k confirmation that no markup has been applied to pass-through costs.

ii Authority scrutiny and evidence gathering

On transfer pricing matters, Irish Revenue do not typically engage in dawn raids. The taxpayer will be provided with reasonable notice of an upcoming visit or intention to initiate an audit. This is typically followed by a series of written request for further information or explanations. This may be supplemented by requests for meetings with representatives of the taxpayer and interviews with relevant persons employed by the taxpayer. Expert witnesses do not typically form part of the pre-litigation transfer pricing discussion.

While Irish Revenue will seek to understand the taxpayer's business and obtain an overview of its global business, in the normal course of events the primary focus is typically on the direct intra-group relationships to which the Irish resident taxpayer is a party. Irish Revenue do not typically consider arrangements to which the Irish taxpayer is not a party or seek to allocate profit share per jurisdiction throughout a multinational group.

However, as mentioned above, Irish transfer pricing legislation has 'substance over form' provisions whereby Irish Revenue will seek to identify the actual commercial and financial

arrangements between the associated entities. Irish Revenue will then consider the transfer pricing on the actual identified arrangement if it differs from the documented legal form. Furthermore, they may even disregard the actual arrangement if it is not an arrangement that third parties would enter into.

Irish Revenue may also serve notice on a financial institution and other third parties to make books, records or other documents available for inspection, if they contain information relating to a tax liability of a taxpayer, even if the taxpayer is not known to the officer but is identifiable by other means. The officer authorised must have reasonable grounds to believe that the financial institution or other third party is likely to have information relating to this liability.

Irish Revenue are a strong advocate for international cooperation on tax matters. Ireland has entered into more than 70 double-taxation treaties and numerous tax information exchange agreements under which Irish Revenue cooperate with foreign authorities in the exchange of tax information. Irish Revenue have information exchange obligations arising from Ireland's membership of the European Union and the OECD, both of which involve automatic exchange of information relating to cross-border tax rulings and advance pricing agreements (APAs).

IV INTANGIBLE ASSETS

As mentioned above, the Finance Act 2019 extended the Irish transfer pricing legislation to transactions involving capital assets, including intangible assets. In addition, the Act requires that the Irish transfer pricing rules are interpreted in so far as is practicable in a manner that is consistent with the 2017 OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines as supplemented by the Guidance for Tax Administrations on the Application of the Approach to Hard-to-Value Intangibles. Therefore, Chapter VI of the 2017 OECD Guidelines should be considered carefully in all transactions involving the acquisition or disposal of intangible assets and on royalty and other licence fee payments in respect of the use of intangibles.

V SETTLEMENTS

There is no publicly available information on transfer pricing settlements concluded with Irish Revenue. However, in practice, it is clear that Irish Revenue place great importance on reaching settlements that can be supported by appropriate evidence and are based on OECD principles. Under Irish Revenue's internal quality assurance programme, a selection of audits and ultimately settlements are monitored to ensure quality.

A taxpayer may make a voluntary disclosure of an underpayment of tax before an audit has commenced to benefit from reduced penalties. Once an audit has commenced, and through the appeals process, the opportunity to settle remains open, though the level of penalty mitigation may be reduced. Audit settlement agreements do not preclude access to mutual agreement procedures.¹⁹

Once a settlement is agreed, the outstanding tax plus interest and penalties is paid and the audit is closed. Subject to the specific terms of any settlement agreed, there is no legal framework for retrospectively challenging a tax audit settlement that has been agreed and that

¹⁹ Guidelines for requesting Mutual Agreement Procedure (MAP) assistance in Ireland Part 35-02-08, December 2021.

is legally binding. In certain circumstances, where significant penalties are imposed as part of the settlement, Irish Revenue are obliged to publish the name and address of the taxpayer along with the default amount and applicable tax head.²⁰

As Irish Revenue will endeavour to conclude a transfer pricing settlement based on OECD principles, they will generally accept a similar methodology going forward as long as the facts and circumstances have not changed. While a settlement discussion may be broadened and extended into a bilateral APA, Irish Revenue will no longer agree to a unilateral APA in any circumstances.

VI INVESTIGATIONS

Irish Revenue maintain scrutiny on the transfer pricing matters within the framework of the existing tax compliance infrastructure with support from a team of economists. Separately, Irish Revenue's competent authority team manage international transfer pricing disputes and bilateral or multilateral APAs. Irish Revenue have published guidance on their approach to transfer pricing investigations.²¹

Upon the introduction of the transfer pricing legislation in 2010, it was recognised that there was not a significant level of experience or understanding of the transfer pricing policies of multinationals operating in Ireland. Therefore, within the context of monitoring transfer pricing compliance, in November 2012 Irish Revenue initiated a system of transfer pricing compliance reviews.²² This comprised a non-audit intervention whereby the tax inspector would make a request for information on the transfer pricing policy within a multinational group. The information requested would include:

- a the group structure;
- b details of transactions by type and associated companies involved;
- c pricing and transfer pricing methodology for each type of transaction;
- d the functions, assets and risks of parties;
- e a list of documentation available or reviewed; and
- f the basis for establishing how the arm's-length standard is satisfied.

This initial non-audit intervention could lead to a more traditional audit. Over time, as experience has grown, transfer pricing audits are more common and are handled in a similar manner to audits under other tax heads. Irish Revenue have noted that the deployment of its resources will take into account risk factors, and therefore it is unlikely that transactions between persons that involve no overall loss of revenue will be targeted.

An authorised officer can require a taxpayer to deliver, or to make available for inspection, books, records and other documents (including transfer pricing documents) or to furnish information relevant to the taxpayer's tax liability under the legislation. Upon request the taxpayer must deliver supporting transfer pricing documentation (including a master file and local file where applicable) within 30 days. An authorised officer can also apply to the High Court for an order directing the person concerned to comply with the officer's requirements in respect of books, records and other information.²³

²⁰ Section 1086 TCA

²¹ Monitoring Compliance with Transfer Pricing Rules, Part 35A-01-01, June 2018.

²² See Revenue Operational Manual 35A-01-01.

²³ Part 38 TCA.

The statute of limitations for raising an assessment is four years from the end of the accounting period in which the relevant tax return is delivered.²⁴ This typically means the accounting period remains open for audit for five years from the end of the accounting period in question.

Once an assessment is raised the taxpayer has 30 days to lodge an appeal to the assessment in writing. The case then moves forward to the Tax Appeals Commissioners for determination. Further appeal on points of law may be made to the High Court, Court of Appeal and ultimately the Supreme Court through the regular court system. It is worth noting that settlement negotiations can continue during the period following the issuing of an assessment and lodging an appeal.

VII LITIGATION

i Procedure

To make an appeal to an assessment, the taxpayer must submit a formal notice of appeal to the Tax Appeals Commission, along with a copy of the notice of assessment or the letter of notification containing the decision to be appealed. The notice of assessment or the letter of notification will state the time limit for making an appeal but it is generally 30 days from the date on the notice of assessment or the letter or notification.

For the Tax Appeal Commissioner to accept the appeal, the taxpayer must have submitted a tax return and paid the amount of tax declared on the return. It is not necessary to pay the tax assessed by Irish Revenue in the notice of assessment. If this condition is not satisfied, Irish Revenue may object to the leave to appeal and will notify the taxpayer of the objection. While Irish Revenue can object to the acceptance of the appeal, it is a matter for the Tax Appeals Commission to accept or refuse to accept the appeal.

Most appeals end up being settled by an agreement between taxpayers and Irish Revenue rather than being decided by the Tax Appeals Commission. The appeal to the Tax Appeals Commission will remain open for the duration of any discussions with Irish Revenue. However, the Tax Appeals Commission may decide to proceed with the appeal if it thinks that it is unlikely to be settled by agreement or it is unlikely to be settled within a reasonable period.

Most appeals that end up with the Tax Appeals Commission are decided following an oral hearing before an Appeal Commissioner. A hearing involves the Appeal Commissioner listening to arguments and evidence presented by the taxpayer and an Irish Revenue official. Both parties may be represented by a tax adviser or lawyer. Before an oral hearing takes place, the Tax Appeals Commission may ask the taxpayer or Irish Revenue to provide additional information about the matter being appealed. The Tax Appeals Commission can decide not to have an oral hearing but, instead, to make a decision based on written material provided by the taxpayer and Irish Revenue. This is more likely to happen where the matter being appealed is straightforward.

During a Tax Appeal Commissioners hearing expert witnesses may be called, the number of witnesses depending on the complexity of the matter at hand. Where expert evidence of a technical nature is likely to be adduced by the parties, the Tax Appeal Commissioners may give a direction that any experts intended to be called by the parties to give evidence at

the hearing of the appeal meet in advance of the hearing and prepare an agreed statement detailing those areas in which the experts are in agreement and those areas on which the experts differ.

Whether your appeal is decided with or without an oral hearing, the taxpayer is given a detailed written decision that explains why the Appeal Commissioner made the decision. All decisions are published on the Commission's website²⁵ but do not identify the particular taxpayer involved. To date, there have been no transfer pricing decisions published.

Either party may appeal a decision of the Appeal Commissioners to the High Court on a point of law but this is not a complete re-hearing of the appeal. Therefore, the ability to appeal will depend on the decision made by the Appeal Commissioner and the reasons given for making that decision.

ii Recent cases

There has been no case law or Tax Appeals Commissioners decision determined on Ireland's transfer pricing legislation, although there are cases awaiting hearing. In a case that pre-dated the transfer pricing legislation, *Belville Holdings v. Cronin*,²⁶ the High Court considered whether a parent company was obliged to charge for services provided to subsidiaries in circumstances where it was otherwise incurring losses as a result of expenses incurred. The High Court held that the parent company should be obliged to charge expenses incurred managing its subsidiaries but only to bring the transaction within the realm of being a *bona fide* transaction in the ordinary course of business.

VIII SECONDARY ADJUSTMENT AND PENALTIES

Irish Revenue are not entitled to impose secondary adjustments under transfer pricing legislation where those adjustments do not relate to an understatement of profits.

The transfer pricing legislation provides for specific transfer pricing related penalties.²⁷ There is a penalty of €4,000 for a failure to deliver transfer pricing documentation within 30 days where requested by Irish Revenue. The penalty is increased to €25,000 (plus €100 for each day) for multinationals with global revenues in excess of €50 million. In addition, normal taxation and penalty provisions will apply. Therefore both fixed and tax-geared penalties may apply. The applicable tax-geared penalty can be as much as 100 per cent of the underpaid tax. Irish Revenue are prepared to mitigate penalties to an amount as low as 3 per cent of the underpaid tax. The applicable percentage will depend on whether there has been a qualifying disclosure, it is a first offence, it is careless behaviour or deliberate behaviour and whether consequences are significant.²⁸

Where the taxpayer does not agree on the liability to a penalty, it is then a matter for the court to determine whether that person is liable to a penalty.

²⁵ www.taxappeals.ie.

²⁶ III ITR 340.

²⁷ Section 835G.

²⁸ See Code of Practice for Revenue Audit and other Compliance Interventions, 2019. The Code of Practice for Revenue Compliance Interventions (effective May 2022) applies to all interventions notified after 1 May 2022.

IX BROADER TAXATION ISSUES

i Diverted profits tax, digital sales tax and other supplementary measures

Ireland has not introduced a diverted profits tax, digital sales tax or other measures to supplement transfer pricing rules.

The European Commission issued a decision on 30 August 2016 that two tax rulings granted to Apple in Ireland constituted state aid. Ireland and Apple appealed this decision and on 15 July 2020, the General Court of the European Union annulled the decision of the European Commission in finding that Ireland had not granted state aid. However, even though during the years covered by the decision Ireland did not have transfer pricing rules in domestic law, the General Court confirmed that the European Commission could consider the conformity of tax rulings with an arm's-length principle under EU law. The European Commission have appealed the finding of the General Court.

ii Tax challenges arising from digitalisation

Ireland has been an active participant in tax reform discussions throughout the BEPS process. Regarding the OECD proposals under Pillar One and Pillar Two, Ireland has adopted a pragmatic and principled approach. On 7 October 2021, Ireland's Minister for Finance announced that Ireland joined the OECD international agreement on the OECD's Pillar One and Pillar Two proposals. The Irish Minister for Finance has been broadly supportive of Pillar One, even though a realignment of taxing rights under this proposal is likely to see a reduction in Irish corporation tax receipts. A balanced global solution to the realignment of taxing rights is likely to provide greater certainty to business and to Ireland in the medium term. The Minister for Finance had expressed some reservations on the GloBE proposal under Pillar Two as going beyond what is needed to address the tax challenges of digitalisation. The initial proposed minimum effective tax rate of 'at least 15 per cent', which was an open issue for Ireland, was ultimately set to a rate of 15 per cent. As part of the negotiations, Ireland secured the removal of the 'at least' wording. Ireland also received assurances that the 12.5 per cent headline corporation tax rate can remain in force for companies below the Pillar Two threshold of €750 million revenues. As there remains uncertainty around the legal and technical implementation of Pillar One and Pillar Two, the interaction with Irish transfer pricing legislation remains to be seen. Pending further clarity, multinational taxpayers in Ireland are closely following updates on the implementation of the proposals to understand the potential impact on their transfer pricing policies.

iii Transfer pricing implications of covid-19

Irish Revenue did not issue explicit guidance on the transfer pricing implications of the covid-19 pandemic. However, practical experience shows that they will look to apply the OECD guidance issued in December 2020.

While covid-19 restrictions were in place, Irish Revenue sought to conduct enquiries remotely where possible. As the restrictions in Ireland are removed, normal practice relating to audits has been resumed. Compliance interventions by Irish Revenue's transfer pricing unit are generally operating as normal.

iv Double taxation

To avoid double taxation on transfer pricing matters, taxpayers may request mutual agreement procedure assistance under the terms of the relevant double-tax treaty or the EU Arbitration Convention. In addition, taxpayers have access to the EU Tax Dispute Resolution Directive²⁹ for complaints submitted on or after 1 July 2019 in relation to a question in dispute that involves income or capital earned in a tax year commencing on or after 1 January 2018.

The legal basis for a mutual agreement procedure request falls under the equivalent of Article 25 of the OECD's Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital in the relevant double-tax treaty. In international transfer pricing matters, it is typically advisable for each affected taxpayer to make a separate request for mutual agreement procedure assistance to the competent authority of the country in which it is resident. Under the multilateral instrument agreed as part of the BEPS process, Ireland has opted to allow a taxpayer approach the competent authority of either jurisdiction. The mutual agreement procedure request must be submitted in writing within the time limit applicable in the relevant double-tax treaty (typically three years, but may vary by treaty) or the EU Arbitration Convention (three years from the first notification of the action that results or is likely to result in double taxation). The time period typically begins from the date of the first tax assessment notice or equivalent.

The minimum information to be provided as part of a mutual agreement procedure request under a double-tax treaty includes details of the relevant tax periods, the nature of the action and the names and addresses of the relevant parties. For a valid request under the EU Arbitration Convention, the request should also include details of the relevant facts, copies of assessments, details of litigation commenced and an explanation of why the principles of the EU Arbitration Convention have not been observed. Irish Revenue do not envisage the parallel undertaking of a mutual agreement procedure where the taxpayer is simultaneously pursuing judicial or administrative remedies. However, a taxpayer may submit a request for mutual agreement procedure assistance while judicial or administrative proceedings are ongoing. In such cases, the taxpayer generally agrees to the suspension of its judicial or administrative remedies pending the outcome of the mutual agreement procedure. If agreement cannot be reached through the mutual agreement procedure or if the taxpayer rejects the agreement between the competent authorities, the taxpayer can pursue any available domestic administrative or judicial remedies.

Double taxation can also be avoided by means of settling an APA. Importantly, Irish Revenue are prepared to conclude a multilateral or bilateral advance pricing agreement with double-tax treaty partner jurisdictions. Irish Revenue will not conclude unilateral pricing agreements. Irish Revenue have issued detailed guidelines on the processes for APAs.³² There has been a significant uptake in Ireland's APA programme in recent years. Irish Revenue's most recently available annual report³³ notes that in 2021, the Irish competent authority received 11 APA requests and three APAs were concluded following negotiations with the competent authorities of other countries.

^{29 2017/1852} of 10 October 2017.

³⁰ See Guidelines for requesting Mutual Agreement Procedure (MAP) assistance in Ireland, Tax and Duty Manual Part 35-02-08, December 2021.

³¹ As prescribed by Irish Revenue's Guidelines for requesting Mutual Agreement Procedure (MAP) assistance in Ireland, Tax and Duty Manual Part 35-02-08, December 2021.

³² See Bilateral Advance Pricing Agreement Guidelines Part 35-02-07, December 2020.

³³ https://www.revenue.ie/en/corporate/press-office/annual-report/2021/ar-2021.pdf.

A request for a mutual agreement procedure can be distinguished from a request for a correlative adjustment where a foreign associated taxpayer has settled a case unilaterally with its foreign tax administration with regard to a transaction with its Irish associated taxpayer, and the associated Irish taxpayer subsequently makes a claim to Irish Revenue for a correlative adjustment. Irish Revenue will consider the appropriateness of such claims and will only allow a correlative adjustment to the profits of the Irish taxpayer to the extent that it considers the adjustment to be at arm's length.

Double taxation may be unavoidable in a situation where a non-negotiable tax settlement has been agreed in one jurisdiction and Irish Revenue do not consider the settlement reached to reflect an arm's-length position.

v Consequential impact for other taxes

Where a transfer pricing adjustment is simply booked as an adjustment to taxable profits and there is no adjustment to the actual price charged and invoiced as between the associated entities, then there should be no VAT impact. Where the adjustment is charged and invoiced, then VAT returns should be amended as appropriate. The VAT recovery consequences will then depend on the VAT profile of the entity in question.

For customs purposes, the price paid or payable is taken as the transaction value for customs purposes. Thus, a transfer pricing adjustment that results in a change in the price paid may be relevant to any market valuation used as part of customs reporting. In light of the decision of the European Court of Justice in *Hamamatsu Photonics Deutschland*,³⁴ the impact of pricing adjustments on the customs valuation declared on the importation of the goods is unclear. Irish Revenue have not published guidance or otherwise commented on the decision to date.

X OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS

Following the BEPS process, a comprehensive review of Ireland's corporation tax code by an independent expert and extensive public consultation, the transfer pricing rules were significantly updated in the Finance Act 2019. Irish Revenue now have the legislative framework of a modern transfer pricing regime and has recognised transfer pricing as an important tool for raising tax revenues and defending the existing Irish tax base. We have seen a significant increase in transfer pricing controversy over recent months and can expect this to continue to escalate in coming years. Irish Revenue's annual report contains aggregated statistical information on the number of transfer pricing audits conducted and the outcomes. Irish Revenue noted in its most recently available annual report that in the period from 2015 to the end of 2021, Irish Revenue initiated 42 transfer pricing compliance interventions, 19 of which have been finalised.³⁵

It is hoped that new transfer pricing guidelines will be further updated to provide more clarity on some particular areas of concern within the Irish transfer pricing rules, particularly the scope of the exemption from transfer pricing for transactions between Irish companies.

As regards legislative changes, the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidance on Financial Transactions issued in 2020 were incorporated into Irish transfer pricing legislation with

³⁴ C-529/16.

³⁵ https://www.revenue.ie/en/corporate/press-office/annual-report/2021/ar-2021.pdf.

effect from 8 December 2021. Following a public consultation on the introduction of the Authorised OECD Approach to the attribution of profits to branches of non-resident companies, the Finance Act 2021 introduced the application of the OECD development mechanisms (the Authorised OECD Approach) into Irish transfer pricing legislation. This approach applies to the attribution of income to a permanent establishment of a non-resident company operating in Ireland for accounting periods commencing on or after 1 January 2022.

Irish Revenue recently published a revised Code of Practice for Revenue Compliance Interventions (the Code).³⁶ It came into effect on 1 May 2022 and is a total replacement of its predecessor, the 2019 Code of Conduct. Importantly, compliance interventions made prior to 1 May 2022 will continue under the 2019 version of the code. The Code sets out a new Compliance Intervention Framework that provides a graduated response to taxpayer behaviour ranging from the provision of voluntary opportunities to correct any mistakes identified to the imposition of criminal penalties in cases of serious fraud or evasion. The new framework contains three graduated compliance intervention levels: Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3. Historically, transfer pricing-related compliance interventions were typically initiated by way of aspect queries. Aspect queries have been removed from the new framework and are replaced by 'Risk Reviews' under Level 2 of the framework. As such, it is anticipated that transfer pricing interventions will likely principally fall under Level 2 interventions.

Furthermore, as in many other jurisdictions and as outlined above, focus remains on tax developments at an international level through the OECD and Inclusive Framework and within the European Union. Ireland continues to participate actively within both organisations recognising the benefits of a multilateral solution. Ireland is committed to keeping Ireland's general transfer pricing rules in line with new and emerging international best practice and will be proactive in adopting any new emerging standards where appropriate.³⁷

³⁶ The Code of Practice for Revenue Compliance Interventions (effective May 2022) applies to all interventions notified after 1 May 2022.

³⁷ See 2021 Update to Ireland's Corporation Tax Roadmap.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

JOE DUFFY

Matheson

Joe Duffy is a partner in the tax group at Matheson. Joe has 20 years' experience in corporate tax law and advises on international restructurings, mergers and acquisitions, tax controversy, and transfer pricing. Joe's clients include many of the leading multinational corporations established in Ireland, primarily in the pharmaceutical, technology, industrial and service sectors. Joe speaks regularly on international tax matters in Ireland and abroad. He has also written extensively on international tax matters. Joe has been an active contributor to Irish and OECD tax policy directly and through industry bodies for many years and is an officer on the International Bar Association Taxes Committee. Joe is the only Irish tax adviser ranked as a Who's Who Thought Leader in Tax Controversy for 2019. Joe is a qualified solicitor, chartered accountant and tax adviser in Ireland, and has qualified as an attorney in New York. Joe previously worked in a Big Four accountancy practice and as in-house tax counsel for a US multinational.

CATHERINE O'MEARA

Matheson

Catherine O'Meara is a partner in the tax department at Matheson. Catherine has over 10 years' experience advising multinational corporations doing business in Ireland on Irish corporate tax. Catherine has a particular interest in transfer pricing, competent authority matters and business restructurings, and also has extensive experience in structuring inward investment projects, mergers and acquisitions and corporate reorganisations. Catherine's clients include many of the leading multinational corporations established in Ireland, primarily in the pharmaceutical, healthcare, ICT and consumer brand sectors. Catherine speaks regularly on international tax matters and has published articles in leading tax journals. Catherine is currently chair of the International Fiscal Association Ireland (IFA Ireland). Catherine is a Chartered Tax Adviser and a member of the Law Society of Ireland.

ANNA CROWLEY

Matheson

Anna Crowley is a senior associate and chartered tax adviser in the tax department at Matheson. Anna advises Irish and multinational clients on corporate and international tax and transfer pricing. She also advises clients in relation to tax-effective structures for inbound and

outbound investment and cross-border reorganisations. Anna assists leading multinational corporations with tax authority audits, competent authority matters and multi-jurisdictional tax controversies. She is a member of the Irish branch of the Young International Fiscal Association and regularly speaks on international tax and transfer pricing matters.

MATHESON

70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay Dublin 2 Ireland Tel: +353 1 232 2000

Fax: +353 1 232 3333 joseph.duffy@matheson.com catherine.o'meara@matheson.com anna.crowley@matheson.com www.matheson.com

ISBN 978-1-80449-085-3