Transfer Pricing

Contributing editor
Jason M Osborn









Transfer Pricing 2019

Jason M Osborn
Mayer Brown LLP

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd
This article was first published in August 2018
For further information please contact editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com

Publisher Tom Barnes tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions
James Spearing
subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Senior business development managers Adam Sargent adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Dan White dan.white@gettingthedealthrough.com



Published by Law Business Research Ltd 87 Lancaster Road London, W11 1QQ, UK Tel: +44 20 3780 4147 Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2018 No photocopying without a CLA licence. First published 2014 Fifth edition ISBN 978-1-78915-079-7 The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between May and July 2018. Be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112



CONTENTS

Albania	5	Korea	45
Andi Pacani Boga & Associates		Tae-Yeon (TY) Nam, Christopher Sung, Jae-Suk (JS) Park and Seung-Hyup Lee	
		Kim & Chang	
Belgium	9		
Brent Springael and Pierre Hechtermans		Mexico	51
Bird & Bird LLP		Ricardo León and Guillermo Villaseñor Sánchez Devanny Eseverri, SC	
Brazil	14		
Clarissa Giannetti Machado and Thiago Del Bel		Morocco	59
Trench Rossi Watanabe		Marc Veuillot and Cédric Mahéo CMS Francis Lefebvre Maroc	
Greece	21		
Fotodotis Malamas		Netherlands	64
Bernitsas Law		Jan van den Tooren, Wouter Vosse, Servaas van Dooren and Hendrik van Waveren	
Indonesia	28	Hamelink & Van den Tooren	
Lilik Fitrianta Pracaya, Ishaq Prapta Utama, Suwarso and Liliek Saraswati		United Kingdom	69
Taxindo Prime Consulting (TPC)		Batanayi Katongera, Gregory Price and Hannah Brearley Macfarlanes LLP	
Ireland	34		
Joe Duffy and Tomás Bailey		United States	<u>75</u>
Matheson		Jason M Osborn and John W Horne Mayer Brown LLP	
Italy	40		

Preface

Transfer Pricing 2019

Fifth edition

Getting the Deal Through is delighted to publish the fifth edition of *Transfer Pricing*, which is available in print, as an e-book and online at www.gettingthedealthrough.com.

Getting the Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, crossborder legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Through out this edition, and following the unique **Getting the Deal Through** format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured. Our new coverage this year includes Korea.

Getting the Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.gettingthedealthrough.com.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Getting the Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editor, Jason M Osborn of Mayer Brown LLP, for his continued assistance with this volume.

GETTING THE MEDICAL THROUGH ME

London July 2018 IRELAND Matheson

Ireland

Joe Duffy and Tomás Bailey

Matheson

Overview

1 Identify the principal transfer pricing legislation.

The primary Irish transfer pricing legislation is contained in Part 35A of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 (TCA), which applies to accounting periods commencing on or after 1 January 2011 for transactions the terms of which were agreed on or after 1 July 2010.

2 Which central government agency has primary responsibility for enforcing the transfer pricing rules?

The Revenue Commissioners deal with transfer pricing and all other tax matters. The Irish competent authority team within the Revenue Commissioners is responsible for tax matters under Ireland's treaties. There are transfer pricing specialists and economists within the Revenue Commissioners dealing with transfer pricing matters.

3 What is the role of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines?

The OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines are tantamount to being Irish law by virtue of Irish tax legislation which states that the transfer pricing rules are to be construed in such a way as to ensure, as far as practicable, consistency with the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines. Consequently, Irish law does not go into any detail about how to apply the arm's-length principle. New and revised versions of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines are incorporated into domestic Irish law by legislative amendment. The 2017 edition of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines has not been incorporated into Irish domestic law to date.

4 To what types of transactions do the transfer pricing rules apply?

The transfer pricing rules apply, in a domestic and cross-border context:

- to arrangements involving the supply and acquisition of goods, services, money or intangibles;
- where at the time of the supply and acquisition the supplier and acquirer are associated; and
- to the profits or gains or losses arising from the relevant activities are in respect of trading activities.

Where an arrangement between associated entities is made otherwise than at arm's length, an adjustment may be made where the Irish entity has understated income or overstated expenses.

An arrangement is defined very broadly and includes any agreement or arrangement of any kind (whether or not it is, or is intended to be, legally enforceable). Two persons may be associated directly or indirectly by virtue of participation in the management, control or capital of the other. A trading activity typically involves significant activities conducted regularly by persons or employees in Ireland and will typically qualify for the 12.5 per cent corporation tax rate.

The transfer pricing rules do not apply to small or medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) – broadly, enterprises with fewer than 250 employees and either a turnover of less than \in 50 million or assets of less than \in 43 million on a group basis.

Arrangements that were agreed before 1 July 2010 and remain unchanged are not subject to the transfer pricing rules.

5 Do the relevant transfer pricing rules adhere to the arm'slength principle?

Yes

6 How has the OECD's project on base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS) affected the applicable transfer pricing rules?

Ireland participated fully in the OECD's BEPS project and has implemented several best practice recommendations to date. As noted above, new and revised versions of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines are incorporated into Irish law by legislative amendment. The BEPS Actions 8 to 10 recommendations will not be applied to non-double tax agreement (DTA) situations under domestic transfer pricing rules until they become legally effective. However, the updated OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines will be applied with effect from October 2015 to disputes in relation to profit allocation under DTAs. It is anticipated that Ireland will seek to incorporate the 2017 edition of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines into domestic law by the end of 2020 at the latest.

Pricing methods

7 What transfer pricing methods are acceptable?

The transfer pricing rules do not specify acceptable or preferred transfer pricing methods. However, the legislation requires the transfer pricing rules to be construed in such as way so as to ensure, as far as practicable, consistency with the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines.

Therefore any transfer pricing method that is selected and applied in accordance with the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines should be acceptable from an Irish transfer pricing perspective. In accordance with the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines, the taxpayer should select the methodology that is most appropriate for the particular transaction.

8 Are cost-sharing arrangements permitted? Describe the acceptable cost-sharing pricing methods.

Cost-sharing arrangements are permitted. Intra-group cost-sharing arrangements should be implemented in a manner consistent with the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines. Therefore the conditions applying to the cost-sharing arrangement should be consistent with the arm's-length principle and should be adequately documented.

What are the rules for selecting a transfer pricing method?

Transfer pricing methods should be selected in accordance with the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines. There are no preferred methods prescribed by the transfer pricing rules. Therefore traditional transaction methods and transactional profit methods may be used, but the selection of a transfer pricing method should always aim at finding the most appropriate method given the particular factual circumstances.

10 Can a taxpayer make transfer pricing adjustments?

Where the transfer pricing adjustment arises in respect of an obligation to make a payment to a connected person outside Ireland, as a result of an adjustment to the profits of that connected person and where relief is available under the terms of a DTA, then an adjustment may only be taken in Ireland by way of a correlative relief application to the Irish competent authority.

Transfer pricing adjustments made in other situations (eg, as a year-end true-up) are generally acceptable as long as they comply with the arm's-length standard.

11 Are special 'safe harbour' methods available for certain types of related-party transactions? What are these methods and what types of transactions do they apply to?

The Revenue Commissioners recently published guidelines confirming the availability of a safe harbour for low-value intra-group services (LVIGS). Where the safe harbour applies, the Revenue Commissioners will accept a mark-up of 5 per cent of the taxpayer's relevant cost base without the need for a benchmarking analysis. The safe harbour is largely based on the guidance contained in section D of Chapter VII of the 2017 edition of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines.

In addition, the transfer pricing rules do not apply to SMEs.

Disclosures and documentation

12 Does the tax authority require taxpayers to submit transfer pricing documentation? Regardless of whether transfer pricing documentation is required, does preparing documentation confer any other benefits?

A taxpayer is not obliged to submit transfer pricing documentation unless requested by the Revenue Commissioners. However, the taxpayer is obliged to retain and have available for inspection sufficient documentation and records to demonstrate the taxpayer's compliance with the transfer pricing rules. The records must be prepared in a timely manner and must demonstrate that the taxpayer's relevant income has been computed in accordance with the transfer pricing rules. The records must be prepared in English or Irish in written form or by means of any electronic, photographic or other process permitted for accounting records. The records must be retained for a period of at least six years after the completion of the relevant transaction to which they relate.

A taxpayer who fails to submit documentation when requested by the Revenue Commissioners may be liable to a penalty. The Revenue Commissioners can apply to the High Court of Ireland for a court order to compel a taxpayer to submit records or documentation.

Taxpayers are obliged to retain such records and documentation that enable true returns to be made under the self-assessment system of corporation tax compliance. A failure to do so may result in the taxpayer incurring penalties. In addition, a comprehensive and robust system of document and record retention will strengthen a taxpayer's position in any engagements with the Revenue Commissioners. For example, a record of the transfer pricing analysis that was carried out should be sufficient to show that reasonable care has been taken and should therefore mitigate tax-geared penalties in the event of underpayment.

Taxpayers must have available records as may reasonably be required for the purposes of determining whether the trading income has been computed on an arm's-length basis. Irish tax legislation is not prescriptive as to the form of that documentation. The Revenue Commissioners have published guidance on documentation requirements. The key points noted in the Revenue Commissioners' guidance are as follows:

There is no standard or required form of transfer pricing documentation. However, the EU Council Code of Conduct, EU Transfer Pricing Documentation, and Chapter V of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines are considered good practice.

Documentation should be available at the time the relevant tax return is made although it is best practice that the documentation is prepared at the time of the transaction in question.

Suitable documentation may already be held by another group company.

The extent of documentation depends on the facts. The cost and administrative burden of preparing documentation should be commensurate with the risk involved. For example, it would be expected that complex and high-value transactions would generally require more detailed analysis and related documentation than simple, easily understood and comparable, high-volume transactions.

The quality of the documentation will be a key factor in determining whether an adjustment on audit should be regarded as correcting an innocent error or as being a technical adjustment. The quality of the documentation will depend on its suitability for purpose. Again, for

complex high-value transactions the benchmark for what represents quality documentation will be higher.

Although a separate master file and a local file is not technically required, the information required to be kept on a master file and a local file should be treated as being reasonably required for the purposes of determining whether the trading income has been computed on an arm's-length basis. Therefore, taxpayers should comply with the BEPS Action 13 documentation requirements in order to satisfy Irish domestic obligations. Taxpayers are not obliged to file this information with the Revenue Commissioners.

13 Has the tax authority proposed or adopted country-by-country reporting? What are the differences between the local country-by-country reporting rules and the consensus framework of BEPS Action 13?

Country-by-country (CbC) reporting applies to fiscal years beginning on or after 1 January 2016. The Irish legislative framework largely follows the OECD BEPS Action 13 proposal. However, the Irish approach departs from the OECD proposal with respect to secondary reporting obligations. In Ireland, a constituent entity that is neither an ultimate parent entity nor a surrogate parent entity is obliged to request information from its ultimate parent entity to complete a full CbC report. If the ultimate parent entity refuses or fails to provide sufficient information to enable the constituent entity to file a full CbC report, the constituent entity is obliged to notify the Revenue Commissioners of that refusal and file an 'equivalent country-by-country report'. The 'equivalent country-by-country report' contains details of the filing constituent entity and its subsidiaries only. Failure by the constituent entity to request the information from the ultimate parent entity will result in penalties for the constituent entity. There are no penalties if the constituent entity requests the information and that request is refused.

14 When must a taxpayer prepare and submit transfer pricing documentation?

Records and documentation must be maintained in a timely manner on a continuous and consistent basis. Best practice dictates that all documentation should be prepared contemporaneously.

Taxpayers are not obliged to submit transfer pricing documentation until they are requested to do so by the Revenue Commissioners. The Revenue Commissioners are obliged to give taxpayers a reasonable opportunity to submit the relevant documentation. If a taxpayer fails to comply with a request for documents, the Revenue Commissioners may serve a demand on the taxpayer seeking the relevant documents within a period not less than 21 days.

15 What are the consequences for failing to submit documentation?

A taxpayer who fails to submit the relevant documentation within the time period prescribed in the notice may be liable to a penalty of €4,000.

Adjustments and settlement

16 How long does the tax authority have to review an income tax return?

Where a taxpayer has delivered a return containing a full and true disclosure of all material information, the Revenue Commissioners may not make an assessment or an amendment to an assessment after the end of four years commencing at the end of the tax year in which the return is filed. Unless and until a full and true return has been filed, the four-year time limit does not begin to run. The Revenue Commissioners may raise an assessment at any time where they have reasonable grounds for suspecting fraud or neglect.

17 If the tax authority asserts a transfer pricing adjustment, what options does the taxpayer have to dispute the adjustment?

A taxpayer can appeal a transfer pricing assessment to the Tax Appeals Commission at first instance. The decision of an Appeal Commissioner may be appealed on a point of law to the High Court and the Supreme Court

Procedural defects in the Revenue Commissioners' conduct may be challenged by way of judicial review.

IRELAND Matheson

Where the transfer pricing adjustment results in double taxation, the taxpayer may present the case to the Irish competent authority for relief pursuant to the mutual agreement procedure (MAP) article of the relevant DTA.

Relief from double taxation

18 Does the country have a comprehensive income tax treaty network? Do these treaties have effective mutual agreement procedures?

Ireland currently has an extensive network of 73 effective DTAs including most major trading nations. The DTAs generally contain an article providing for a MAP.

19 How can a taxpayer request relief from double taxation under the mutual agreement procedure of a tax treaty? Are there published procedures?

The Revenue Commissioners have recently published updated guidelines on the procedure for making a MAP request. To activate the MAP, a taxpayer must apply to the Revenue Commissioners in writing setting out the details of its case. The written MAP request must include the following information:

- i. identity (such as name, address, tax identification number or birth date, contact details) of the taxpayer(s) covered in the MAP request and of the other parties to the relevant transaction(s):
- ii. details of the relationship between the taxpayer and the other parties to the relevant transaction(s);
- iii. the legal basis for the request i.e. the specific tax treaty and/ or EU Arbitration Convention including the provision(s) of the specific article(s) that the taxpayer considers is not being correctly applied by either one or both contracting states (and to indicate which state and the contact details of the relevant person(s) in that state);
- iv. facts and circumstances of the case (including any documentation to support these facts such as financial statements and intercompany legal agreements, the taxation year(s) or period(s) involved and the amounts involved, in both the local currency and foreign currency);
- an analysis of the issues involved (supported with relevant documentation, for example, tax assessment notices, tax audit report or equivalent leading to the alleged double taxation, evidence of tax paid (where applicable)), including:
 - a the taxpayer's interpretation of the application of the specific treaty provisions(s), to support its basis for making a claim that the provision of the specific tax treaty is not correctly applied by either one or both contracting states; and/ or
 - b an explanation by the taxpayer why it considers that the principles set out in article 4 of the EU Arbitration Convention have not been observed;
- vi. the request should state whether the issue(s) presented in the MAP request have been previously dealt with, for example, in an advance ruling, APA, settlement agreement or by any tax tribunal or court. This includes details of any appeals and litigation procedures initiated by the taxpayer or the other parties to the relevant transactions. A copy of any such rulings, agreements or any court decisions concerning the case should be provided;
- vii. any other information or documentation requested by the Competent Authority. Responses to requests for additional information should be complete and submitted within the time stipulated in the request for such information or documentation;
- viii. an undertaking that the taxpayer shall respond as completely and quickly as possible, providing wholly accurate and complete information, to all reasonable and appropriate requests made by a Competent Authority and have documentation at the disposal of the Competent Authorities;
- ix. confirmation of whether the MAP request was also submitted to the Competent Authority of the other Contracting State - if so, the MAP request should make this clear, together with the

date of such submission, the name and the designation of the person or the office to which the MAP request was submitted. A copy of that submission (including all documentation filed with that submission) should also be provided unless the content of both MAP submissions are the same.

20 When may a taxpayer request assistance from the competent authority?

A taxpayer should request assistance from the Irish competent authority as early as possible and in advance of the applicable time limitation.

The time limit laid down by the OECD Model Convention for presenting a MAP request is three years from the first notification of the action resulting in potential double taxation. In practice, the majority of Ireland's DTAs include this three-year time limit, although some DTAs provide for a two-year limit or no time limit.

In the absence of a specified time limit, the domestic legislation stipulating the time limit for claiming a repayment of tax may apply giving a period of four years from the end of the relevant accounting period to apply for a MAP request. However, certain of Ireland's DTAs, such as the US-Ireland DTA, provide that the MAP shall be available notwithstanding domestic time limits.

The MAP is generally available irrespective of any domestic remedies available and it may be initiated before, during or after litigation, but if initiated while such litigation is ongoing the litigation would generally be suspended.

21 Are there limitations on the type of relief that the competent authority will seek, both generally and in specific cases?

There are generally no limitations on the type of relief the Revenue Commissioners may seek.

22 How effective is the competent authority in obtaining relief from double taxation?

The Irish competent authority is generally effective in ensuring a tax-payer obtains relief from double taxation. Typically, the Irish competent authority is asked to engage in a MAP or the Revenue Commissioners are asked to give correlative relief, for a transfer pricing adjustment raised in another jurisdiction. The Irish competent authority and the Revenue Commissioners will endeavour to ensure that the taxpayer has sought to vigorously defend its position and that ultimately any settlement represents a robust and fair application of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines.

In 2017, the Revenue Commissioners completed negotiations to eliminate double taxation through MAPs in more than 30 per cent of its open case inventory.

Advance pricing agreements

23 Does the country have an advance pricing agreement (APA) programme? Are unilateral, bilateral and multilateral APAs available?

Ireland introduced a formal bilateral APA programme that became effective on 1 July 2016. The APA programme replaces the Revenue Commissioners' ad hoc approach to agreeing APAs and provides for the initiation by taxpayers of APAs in Ireland.

Ireland actively participates in bilateral APAs but will not generally conclude unilateral APAs. Where the relevant issues involve more than two tax jurisdictions, the Revenue Commissioners will consider entering into a series of bilateral APAs to deal with multilateral situations.

24 Describe the process for obtaining an APA, including a brief description of the submission requirements and any applicable user fees.

A company's access to the APA programme is subject to the terms of the MAP article of the relevant DTA. An application for an APA may be made by a company that is tax-resident in Ireland, or by a permanent establishment of a non-resident company.

The Revenue Commissioners adhere to the detailed guidelines for concluding APAs that are contained in Annex to Chapter IV: Advance Pricing Arrangements of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines. All bilateral APAs are negotiated on the basis of identifying an arm's-length remuneration for the transactions covered by the APA, and in

Matheson IRELAND

each case the transfer pricing method applied will be in accordance with one of the methodologies contained in Chapter II of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines.

In addition, when negotiating a bilateral APA with an EU member state, the Revenue Commissioners will adhere to the best practices for the conduct of APA procedures, which are set out in the Guidelines for Advance Pricing Agreements within the EU which have been published by the EU Joint Transfer Pricing Forum.

The APA programme involves the following five stages.

- Pre-filing: the pre-filing meeting will enable the parties to establish
 whether an APA is appropriate and will facilitate a discussion of the
 relevant issues (ie, the transactions involved, proposed TP methodology, etc).
- Formal application: the formal APA application will require submission of information including an executive summary, details on the company background, industry analysis, the covered transactions, functional analysis, economic analysis (covering the proposed methodology, search for comparables and any adjustments), financial information and details of any related audit enquiries.
- Evaluation and negotiation: the Revenue Commissioners will formulate its view based on a detailed evaluation of all information submitted. The Revenue Commissioners will then enter into negotiations with the relevant competent authority to resolve any differences arising, with the objective that one agreed set of terms and conditions can be provided to the taxpayer.
- Agreement: where an agreement is reached, the Revenue Commissioners will notify the taxpayer in writing of the agreed terms and conditions within 30 days. If the taxpayer accepts the agreed terms, the Revenue Commissioners will liaise with the other competent authority to finalise the APA. If the agreed terms are not accepted, the Revenue Commissioners will consult with the other competent authority regarding modification where possible.
- Annual reporting: the taxpayer will be obliged to file an annual report with the Revenue Commissioners detailing how it has complied with the terms of the APA. The TP issues covered by the APA will not be subject to audit adjustments by the participating tax authorities provided the terms and conditions of the APA are consistently satisfied.

The Irish competent authority should receive the same information as the other competent authority or authorities. There are no user fees payable to the Revenue Commissioners.

25 How long does it typically take to obtain a unilateral and a bilateral APA?

It will typically take 18 to 24 months to conclude a bilateral APA.

26 How many years can an APA cover prospectively? Are rollbacks available?

Typically, APAs cover three to five years but the Revenue Commissioners will consider other fixed periods subject to the agreement of the other tax administration. However, in no case will the Revenue Commissioners agree to a period that extends more than five years beyond the date of agreement of the bilateral APA with the competent authority of the other tax administration. Rollbacks are available.

27 What types of related-party transactions or issues can be covered by APAs?

The APA programme will apply to complex transfer pricing issues only, where the appropriate application of the arm's-length principle is in doubt or there is a significant risk of double taxation. The Revenue Commissioners list a number of factors which indicate the appropriateness of a particular matter for an APA, including:

- significant doubt exists over the appropriate methodology or a bespoke methodology is being applied;
- the application of the methodology is complex or requires complex calculations;
- reliable comparables are not readily available or require significant and complex adjustments or both; and
- the transaction is real (ie, not hypothetical) and is not expected to change throughout the duration of the APA.

28 Is the APA programme widely used?

The APA programme is of growing importance in recent years. During 2017, the Revenue Commissioners received eight formal APA request and four pre-filing applications for an APA. It is likely that the initiation of APAs in Ireland will continue to increase in popularity under the formal APA programme.

29 Is the APA programme independent from the tax authority's examination function? Is it independent from the competent authority staff that handle other double tax cases?

APA negotiations are typically handled by the competent authority team, which handles double tax cases under a DTA. This is a separate team to the Revenue Commissioners' case officer assigned to that tax-payer. The Revenue Commissioners highlighted the importance of establishing an objective and independent competent authority team in its 2015 publication The Role of the Competent Authority.

30 What are the key advantages and disadvantages to obtaining an APA with the tax authority?

The advantages and disadvantages in Ireland are similar to most countries.

Advantages include:

- · certainty and enhanced predictability;
- · reduced scrutiny going forward;
- · avoiding costly and time-consuming litigation or examinations;
- a better understanding of the business on the part of the Revenue Commissioners; and
- the opportunity to establish or improve a relationship with the Revenue Commissioners in a non-adversarial environment.

Disadvantages include:

- · external professional fees;
- close scrutiny of a transaction by the Revenue Commissioners;
- · significant time of key executives;
- no guarantee that the tax authorities will agree terms that are acceptable to the taxpayer;
- a large amount of information must be volunteered to the Revenue Commissioners; and
- information submitted may be exchanged with tax authorities outside of the APA procedure.

Special topics

31 Is the tax authority generally required to respect the form of related-party transactions as actually structured? In what circumstances can the tax authority disregard or recharacterise related-party transactions?

The Revenue Commissioners will have due regard to Chapter I of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines concerning when, exceptionally, it may be appropriate to consider disregarding the legal form of a structure:

- · the economic substance of a transaction differs to its form; and
- the form and substance differ from those that would have been adopted by independent enterprises behaving in a commercially rational manner and the actual structure practically impedes the Revenue Commissioners from determining the appropriate transfer price.

Under Irish domestic law, the Revenue Commissioners are generally entitled to consider the substance rather than form of a transaction, or may disallow certain specific tax reliefs, where the transaction is not carried out for bona fide commercial reasons or can be considered a tax avoidance transaction within the meaning of the Irish general antiavoidance legislation.

The Supreme Court decision of O'Flynn Construction Limited v Revenue Commissioners [2011] IESC 47 is considered as support for a substance-over-form doctrine in Irish tax law and reverses the long-standing position of form over substance as enunciated in the UK case of IRC v Duke of Westminster 19 TC 490 and endorsed by the Irish courts in McGrath v McDermott III ITR 683.

IRELAND Matheson

Update and trends

Review of Domestic Transfer Pricing Rules

An independent economist's report entitled 'Review of Ireland's Corporation Tax Code' was published by the Irish Department of Finance in late 2017 (the Report), which made a number of recommendations to modernise Ireland's domestic transfer pricing rules (the TP Rules). The recommendations made will, when adopted, expand the scope of application of the TP Rules and will enhance the administrative burden imposed on taxpayers subject to the TP Rules.

The Report made the following recommendations in respect of the TP Rules:

- the TP Rules should be amended to incorporate for the application of the 2017 OECD transfer pricing guidelines into domestic Irish law;
- the application of the TP Rules should be expanded to apply to transactions agreed before 1 July 2010;
- the expansion of the application of the TP Rules to SMEs should be considered;
- the expansion of the application of the TP Rules to non-trading transactions, including, for example, interest-free loans granted by Irish companies, should be considered;
- the expansion of the application of the TP Rules to capital transactions should be considered; and
- the TP Rules should be amended to include a specific statutory obligation on taxpayers to comply with BEPS Action 13 documentation obligations.

Public consultation on the implementation of the Report's recommendations is ongoing and it is likely that changes to the TP Rules will be forthcoming by the end of 2020 at the latest.

Transfer pricing safe harbour

As noted above, the Revenue Commissioners recently issued guidelines confirming that a mark-up of 5 per cent of a taxpayer's relevant cost-base will be accepted as an arm's-length price for LVIGS. Where this safe harbour applies, the Revenue Commissioners will not require a bench-marking analysis to support the pricing position. This confirmation demonstrates the Revenue Commissioners' willingness to provide clarity to taxpayers where possible and provides evidence of the Revenue Commissioners' pragmatic and cooperative approach to transfer pricing compliance.

Interestingly from a tax policy perspective, the safe harbour largely reflects the guidance contained in Section D of Chapter VII of the 2017 version of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Tax Administrations, notwithstanding that they have not yet been incorporated into Irish domestic law.

Competent authority activity

The Revenue Commissioners 2018 annual report confirms that, as anticipated, there has been a post-BEPS increase in MAP and APA requests. Notwithstanding this increase, the annual report highlights the Revenue Commissioners' continued commitment to efficient dispute resolution where possible.

During 2017, the Revenue Commissioners' engagement with other competent authorities through MAP resulted in the conclusion of 12 cases, 11 of which related to transfer pricing. The cases concluded during 2017 represent almost 30 per cent of the Revenue Commissioners' opening inventory for the year. The Revenue Commissioners also held negotiations on bilateral APAs with other competent authorities during 2017 to successfully negotiate two new APAs. In total, eight new APA requests were received by the Revenue Commissioners during the year.

32 What are some of the important factors that the tax authority takes into account in selecting and evaluating comparables? In particular, does the tax authority require the use of country-specific comparable companies, or are comparables from several jurisdictions acceptable?

The Revenue Commissioners have not published guidelines on the evaluation of comparables and there is no requirement to limit comparability analysis to Irish or European comparables. However, the principles outlined in Chapters I and III of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines clearly will be relevant. The Revenue Commissioners typically adopt a pragmatic approach in evaluating comparables. In general, Irish tax legislation and the Revenue Commissioners place considerable weight on the commerciality of transactions. Therefore, in determining the appropriateness of the comparables identified, results that do not apparently make commercial sense (eg, when there is a substantial deviation from other results) should be investigated further.

33 What is the tax authority's position and practice with respect to secret comparables? If secret comparables are ever used, what procedures are in place to allow a taxpayer to defend its own transfer pricing position against the tax authority's position based on secret comparables?

The Revenue Commissioners do not use secret comparables, but will use the same commercial databases typically used by taxpayers.

34 Are secondary transfer pricing adjustments required? What form do they take and what are their tax consequences? Are procedures available to obtain relief from the adverse tax consequences of certain secondary adjustments?

Generally, secondary transfer pricing adjustments are not a feature of the Irish tax landscape.

35 Are any categories of intercompany payments nondeductible?

There are no specific categories of intercompany payment that are non-deductible. However, there are limitations on the deductibility of certain interest payments to related parties where the related securities are:

securities issued otherwise than for new consideration or are convertible directly or indirectly into shares;

- securities where the interest paid is to any extent dependent on the company's results or is at more than a reasonable commercial rate; or
- securities issued by an Irish company and held by a non-resident related company (other than a related company in an EU member state or a DTA partner country, or by certain Irish-resident finance companies and the interest represents a reasonable commercial rate).

Otherwise intercompany payments are subject to the same rules on deductibility as third-party payments. In order for a trading expense to be deductible, it must be incurred wholly and exclusively for the purposes of the trade and must not be capital in nature. It is typically considered by the Revenue Commissioners that an excessive (or non-arm's-length) expense payment is not wholly and exclusively incurred for the purpose of a trade.

36 How are location savings and other location-specific attributes treated under the applicable transfer pricing rules? How are they treated by the tax authority in practice?

There are no specific rules on location savings, and typically the Revenue Commissioners will not assert location-specific attributes in applying the transfer pricing rules.

37 How are profits attributed to a branch or permanent establishment (PE)? Does the tax authority treat the branch or PE as a functionally separate enterprise and apply arm's-length principles? If not, what other approach is applied?

A non-Irish-resident company that is trading in Ireland through a branch or agency is subject to tax in Ireland on any trading income arising directly or indirectly through or from the branch or agency or any income from property or rights used by, or held by or for the branch or agency.

There is no guidance on how to determine what trading income arises directly or indirectly through a branch or agency. However, the Revenue Commissioners will typically accept an allocation determined on a just and reasonable basis that is applied in a consistent manner. In this regard the Revenue Commissioners would typically apply the separate enterprise theory as provided for in most of Ireland's DTA and would seek to apply arm's-length principles.

Matheson IRELAND

38 Are any exit charges imposed on restructurings? How are they determined?

There are no explicit exit charges imposed on restructurings except where the restructuring involves the actual or deemed disposal of an asset. In such circumstances, market value is imposed on disposals to connected persons.

Irish law imposes an 'exit tax' in certain circumstances where a company with assets ceases to be tax-resident in Ireland. In such circumstances, the company is deemed to have disposed of and reacquired all of its assets at market value immediately prior to the change of residence. The deemed disposal and reacquisition can give rise to an Irish capital gains tax liability on any gain arising based on the increase in the value of the assets of the company concerned. There are a number of exceptions to the exit charge where the exiting company is ultimately owned by persons resident in an EU or DTA partner country.

39 Are temporary special tax exemptions or rate reductions provided through government bodies such as local industrial development boards?

No.



Joe Duffy Tomás Bailey	joseph.duffy@matheson.com tomas.bailey@matheson.com
70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay	Tel: +353 1 232 2688
Dublin 2	Fax: +353 1 232 3333
Ireland	www.matheson.com

Getting the Deal Through

Acquisition Finance Advertising & Marketing

Agribusiness Air Transport

Anti-Corruption Regulation Anti-Money Laundering

Appeals
Arbitration
Art Law
Asset Recovery
Automotive

Aviation Finance & Leasing

Aviation Liability
Banking Regulation
Cartel Regulation
Class Actions
Cloud Computing
Commercial Contracts
Competition Compliance
Complex Commercial Litigation

Construction Copyright

Corporate Governance Corporate Immigration Corporate Reorganisations

Cybersecurity

Data Protection & Privacy
Debt Capital Markets
Dispute Resolution
Distribution & Agency
Domains & Domain Names

Dominance e-Commerce Electricity Regulation Energy Disputes Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Regulation

Equity Derivatives

Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits

Financial Services Compliance Financial Services Litigation Fintech

Foreign Investment Review Franchise

Fund Management Gaming

Gas Regulation
Government Investigations

Government Relations
Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation
High-Yield Debt

Initial Public Offerings Insurance & Reinsurance

Insurance Litigation

Intellectual Property & Antitrust Investment Treaty Arbitration Islamic Finance & Markets

Joint Ventures Labour & Employment

Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy

Licensing Life Sciences

Loans & Secured Financing

Mediation Merger Control Mining Oil Regulation Outsourcing

Patents

Pensions & Retirement Plans

Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Ports & Terminals

Private Antitrust Litigation

Private Banking & Wealth Management

Private Client
Private Equity
Private M&A
Product Liability
Product Recall
Project Finance

Public M&A

Public-Private Partnerships Public Procurement

Real Estate Real Estate M&A Renewable Energy

Restructuring & Insolvency

Right of Publicity

Risk & Compliance Management

Securities Finance Securities Litigation

Shareholder Activism & Engagement

Ship Finance Shipbuilding Shipping

Sovereign Immunity

State Aid

Structured Finance & Securitisation

Tax Controversy

Tax on Inbound Investment

Telecoms & Media Trade & Customs Trademarks Transfer Pricing Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally

Online

www.gettingthedealthrough.com