



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to: **Insurance & Reinsurance 2018**

7th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into insurance and reinsurance law

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Advokatfirman Vinge KB

AKP Best Advice

Arthur Cox

Bae, Kim & Lee LLC

BECH-BRUUN

BLACK SEA LAW COMPANY

Blaney McMurtry LLP

BSA Ahmad Bin Hezeem & Associates LLP

Camilleri Preziosi Advocates

Cavus & Coskunsu Law Firm

Christos Chrissanthis & Partners

Chuo Sogo Law Office, P.C.

CIS Risk Consultant Company
(insurance brokers) LLP (CIS)

Clyde & Co LLP

Clyde & Co (Deutschland) LLP

Cordero & Cordero Abogados

Creel, García-Cuellar, Aiza y Enriquez, S.C.

DAC Beachcroft SLPU

DAC Beachcroft Colombia
Abogados SAS

Dirkzwager advocaten & notarissen N.V.

DLA Piper Norway DA

Eversheds Sutherland Ltd.

Gouveia Pereira, Costa Freitas &
Associados

Gross Orad Schlimoff & Co.

Kennedys Chudleigh Ltd

LCS & Partners

Legance – Avvocati Associati

Lloyd's Market Association

Maples and Calder

Matheson

McMillan LLP

MinterEllison

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton &
Garrison LLP

R & T Asia (Thailand) Limited

Railas Attorneys Ltd.

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP

Steptoe & Johnson LLP

Tavares Advogados

Tuli & Co

Vavrovsky Heine Marth





Contributing Editors
Jon Turnbull & Michelle Radom, Clyde & Co LLP

Sales Director
Florjan Osmani

Account Director
Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager
Toni Hayward

Editor
Sam Friend

Senior Editors
Suzie Levy
Caroline Collingwood

Chief Operating Officer
Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor
Alan Falach

Publisher
Rory Smith

Published by
Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design
F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source
iStockphoto

Printed by
Stephens & George
Print Group
March 2018

Copyright © 2018
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-911367-98-7
ISSN 2048-6871

Strategic Partners



General Chapters:

1	Aspects of the Impact of Brexit on UK/EEA Insurance and Reinsurance – Jon Turnbull & Ivor Edwards, Clyde & Co LLP	1
2	Cyber Insurance at Lloyd’s: Coverage and Regulation of Global Digital Risks – Patrick Davison & David Powell, Lloyd’s Market Association	7
3	Fire and Wire: Canadian Business Interruption Insurance in the Age of Cyber-Risk and Climate Change – David R. Mackenzie & Dominic T. Clarke, Blaney McMurtry LLP	14
4	Brexit Relocations: The Story So Far – Darren Maher, Matheson	21
5	Trending Tremors: Cat Bond Developments in Mexico and Latin America – Leonel Pereznieta del Prado & María José Pinillos Montaña, Creel, García-Cuéllar, Aiza y Enríquez, S.C.	23
6	Reinsurance in an Era of Sanctions – Lilia Klochenko & Stanislava Adamaytis, AKP Best Advice	27

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

7	Australia	MinterEllison: Kemsley Brennan & James Stanton	31
8	Austria	Vavrovsky Heine Marth: Philipp Strasser & Jan Philipp Meyer	38
9	Azerbaijan	CIS Risk Consultant Company (insurance brokers) LLP (CIS): Homi Motamedi & Valentina Pan	44
10	Belgium	Steptoe & Johnson LLP: Philip Woolfson & Alexander Hamels	49
11	Bermuda	Kennedys Chudleigh Ltd.: Mark Chudleigh & Nick Miles	57
12	Brazil	Tavares Advogados: André Tavares & Daniel Chacur de Miranda	63
13	Canada	McMillan LLP: Carol Lyons & Lindsay Lorimer	69
14	Cayman Islands	Maples and Calder: Abraham Thoppil & Luke Stockdale	78
15	Colombia	DAC Beachcroft Colombia Abogados SAS: Juan Diego Arango Giraldo & Angela María Hernández Gómez	84
16	Costa Rica	Cordero & Cordero Abogados: Ricardo Cordero B.	91
17	Denmark	BECH-BRUUN: Anne Buhl Bjelke & Henrik Valdorf-Hansen	96
18	England & Wales	Clyde & Co LLP: Jon Turnbull & Michelle Radom	102
19	Finland	Railas Attorneys Ltd.: Lauri Railas	111
20	Georgia	CIS Risk Consultant Company (insurance brokers) LLP (CIS): Homi Motamedi & Valentina Pan	117
21	Germany	Clyde & Co (Deutschland) LLP: Dr Henning Schaloske & Dr Tanja Schramm	121
22	Greece	Christos Chrissanthis & Partners: Dr. Christos Chrissanthis & Xenia Chardalia	128
23	India	Tuli & Co: Neeraj Tuli & Celia Jenkins	136
24	Ireland	Arthur Cox: Elizabeth Bothwell & David O’Donohoe	143
25	Israel	Gross Orad Schlimoff & Co.: Harry Orad	150
26	Italy	Legance – Avvocati Associati: Gian Paolo Tagariello & Daniele Geronzi	156
27	Japan	Chuo Sogo Law Office, P.C.: Hironori Nishikino & Koji Kanazawa	163
28	Kazakhstan	CIS Risk Consultant Company (insurance brokers) LLP (CIS): Homi Motamedi & Valentina Pan	168
29	Korea	Bae, Kim & Lee LLC: Jong Ku Kang & Jong Min An	173
30	Malta	Camilleri Preziosi Advocates: Diane Bugeja & Francesca Galea Cavallazzi	179
31	Mexico	Creel, García-Cuéllar, Aiza y Enríquez, S.C.: María José Pinillos Montaña & Allan Galileo Olmedo Villegas	186
32	Netherlands	Dirkzwager advocaten & notarissen N.V.: Daan Baas & Niels Dekker	191

Continued Overleaf →

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

33	Norway	DLA Piper Norway DA: Alexander Plows & Michal Jorek	198
34	Portugal	Gouveia Pereira, Costa Freitas & Associados: José Limón Cavaco & Ana Isabel Serra Calmeiro	204
35	Russia	AKP Best Advice: Lilia Klochenko & Ekaterina Mikhaylova	210
36	Saudi Arabia	Clyde & Co: Mark Beswetherick & Saud Alsaab	217
37	Singapore	Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP: Elaine Tay Ling Yan	222
38	Spain	DAC Beachcroft SLPU: José María Pimentel & José María Álvarez-Cienfuegos	227
39	Sweden	Advokatfirman Vinge KB: Fabian Ekeblad & Paulina Malmberg	233
40	Switzerland	Eversheds Sutherland Ltd.: Peter Haas & Barbara Klett	240
41	Taiwan	LCS & Partners: Mark J. Harty & Alex Yeh	245
42	Thailand	R & T Asia (Thailand) Co., Ltd.: Sui Lin Teoh & Saroj Jongsaritwang	250
43	Turkey	Cavus & Coskunsu Law Firm: Caglar Coskunsu & Burak Cavus	255
44	Ukraine	BLACK SEA LAW COMPANY: Evgeniy Sukachev & Anastasiia Sukacheva	261
45	United Arab Emirates	BSA Ahmad Bin Hezeem & Associates LLP: Michael Kortbawi & Michel Abi Saab	266
46	USA	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP: H. Christopher Boehning	272
47	Uzbekistan	CIS Risk Consultant Company (insurance brokers) LLP (CIS): Homi Motamedi & Valentina Pan	279

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the seventh edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Insurance & Reinsurance*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of insurance and reinsurance.

It is divided into two main sections:

Six general chapters. These are designed to provide readers with an overview of key issues affecting insurance and reinsurance work, particularly from the perspective of a multi-jurisdictional transaction.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in insurance and reinsurance laws and regulations in 41 jurisdictions.

All chapters are written by leading insurance and reinsurance lawyers and industry specialists, and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editors Jon Turnbull and Michelle Radom of Clyde & Co LLP for their invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The *International Comparative Legal Guide* series is also available online at www.iclg.com.

Alan Falach LL.M.
Group Consulting Editor
Global Legal Group
Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk

Brexit Relocations: The Story So Far

Matheson

Darren Maher



Following the UK's decision to leave the EU and the subsequent triggering of Article 50, there has been continued speculation that Dublin could benefit from Brexit-related financial services companies' relocations and others in the insurance industry.

Brexit Relocations

In the immediate aftermath of Brexit, relocating to Ireland seemed likely to attract more than others and was identified early on as a 'natural location of choice' based on its stability within Europe, its proximity to the UK, its internationally respected regulatory environment and its established international financial services industry. Despite initial concerns, it would seem that the availability of office space, schools and infrastructure to accommodate for any additional demand as a result of Brexit is no longer a concern, as the Irish government has indicated that there is considerable scope to cater for this additional demand due to Brexit and substantial commercial and residential development has been under way for over 18 months.

To cater for any potential increase in authorisation applications as a result of Brexit, the Central Bank of Ireland (the "**Central Bank**") announced in early 2017 that it was increasing staffing levels, reallocating resources to its authorisation teams and outsourcing review of applications to legal and audit firms. The Central Bank also committed to meeting the statutory requirement of processing all fully completed insurance applications within six months.

In the first half of this year, in order to secure continued access to the EU/EEA single market passporting regime post-Brexit, many companies across banking, insurance, investment firms, investment funds and financial market infrastructures began to action their Brexit-related strategies on location by arranging an initial meeting with several regulators based in various jurisdictions, including the Central Bank, to discuss authorisation.

Over time, what emerged, however, was that a number of other cities across Europe such as Brussels, Paris, Frankfurt and Luxembourg were competing directly with Dublin for this Brexit-related relocation activity.

It was observed that the success of the initial meeting between the potential applicant and the 'short-listed' local regulator significantly influenced its decision of where to base its EU operations post-Brexit. These initial meetings, after all, allowed both sides to clarify each other's expectations, discuss the proposed business plan and confirm if they could work together on a long-term basis.

The message from the market following such meetings with the Central Bank was that a potential applicant would not be authorised unless it demonstrates compliance with all legal and regulatory requirements. In particular, the Central Bank requires any potential applicant to demonstrate 'substance' as sound supervision demands appropriate location of management and key functions. The Central Bank expects firms to demonstrate how operations will be based in Ireland; with key business decisions being made here – not a mere brass plate.

The Central Bank's focus on the issue of substance is further evidence when considering the permitted levels of reinsurance, which we understand was a deal-breaker for some potential Brexit relocators. That being said, an insurer is permitted under the Solvency II regime to outsource many of its functions to a third party, provided that a written outsourcing agreement is put in place and the insurer maintains proper oversight and supervision of the outsource service provider.

In addition, in an effort to remain flexible, the Central Bank does not impose a minimum headcount of staff on Day 1 or otherwise, and while it is difficult to predict the number of staff/roles that the Central Bank may require, this will to a large extent depend on the nature, scale and complexity of the proposed business over a period of time. This is often a matter of negotiation with the Central Bank.

The CBI Process

Having worked on a number of applications, ranging from applicants with operations already established in Ireland adopting traditional insurance models, to first-time entrants or applicants adopting a new innovative structure, based on our experience, the Central Bank has the requisite capacity and resources to deal with the high volume of applications which are being processed in a timely and efficient manner. As a general comment, as part of an application for authorisation, the Central Bank reviews both the proposed corporate governance structures and the individuals who are to be appointed to key roles within the applicant to ensure that the applicant has the necessary people, skills, processes and structures to successfully manage its insurance business.

Applicants using traditional insurance models with existing Irish operations appear to move through the authorisation process at a faster pace than first-time entrants. However, this should not deter new entrants with a new business model from making an application, as the delay is owing to the fact that it takes longer for the Central Bank to understand the application, and the Central Bank generally welcomes applications of this kind.

Contemplating a Hard Brexit – Contingency Planning

By and large, what we are seeing in practice is companies investing heavily in firming up their Brexit-related contingency planning for how they will adequately deal with the possible effects of a ‘hard’ Brexit.

Almost simultaneously with the submission of a number of authorisation applications to the Central Bank and similar to the approach adopted by the PRA in the UK, the Central Bank issued letters to certain financial services firms requesting a summary of their Brexit contingency plans. These responses evaluate the options available to them post-Brexit (including a proposed cross-border transfer to Ireland under Part VII of the UK Financial Services and Markets Act 2000) and explores the level of feasibility in respect of each option. These Part VII transfers typically take 12–18 months, as they require UK court approval and so will depend on the capacity of the courts and their timetables, and are costly exercises.

Lessons Learned

In assessing any application, the Central Bank is guided by its mandate to protect consumers and safeguard financial stability rather than incidentally creating gainful employment in the Irish economy.

The Central Bank has been consistent in its approach when assessing any application, which lends itself to building and maintaining an international reputation as a well-regarded regulatory authority; which plays a pivotal role in the supervision and regulation of financial service providers in Ireland to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements. The Central Bank is highly responsive to the needs of entities operating under its supervision.

It remains to be seen if more major banks, insurers and other financial services firms will choose Ireland as their EU hub for post-Brexit relocation. The trend to date highlights that no one EU Member State is emerging as a compelling alternative to London.

The Future

In the context of Brexit relocation, while at times it is difficult to detect the signals through the noise, what we can say is that it is no longer an “all-or-nothing” game of jurisdictions pitted against each other, and is more nuanced in that each EU Member State

stands to gain if the fit is right. Ireland has exceeded expectations in attracting Brexit relocators and it has much to offer the financial services sector as the industry adapts to the future.

For as long as the UK is still part of the EU, it will be possible for Irish insurers to operate on a passported basis into the UK. What the position will be following the UK’s exit from the EU is, at this point, unclear and it remains to be seen whether separate authorisations will be required in the UK to deal with UK business post-Brexit.

Based on our experience, the initial meeting with the ‘short-listed’ regulator is of utmost importance as it sets the tone which will ultimately determine the potential applicant’s optimum jurisdiction for its EU base.

Depending on the nature of Brexit, Irish insurers may face restrictions upon their ability to conduct cross-border business into the UK and *vice versa*. Longer term, the extent to which any regulatory changes are required to be introduced will depend on the outcome of the Brexit negotiations, which will become known in the months ahead.

Ireland is more exposed to Brexit than any other EU Member State and is open to investment opportunities and commercial innovations.

The levels of investment and planning being undertaken by the Irish government to prepare for any opportunities arising from Brexit should give comfort to potential relocators.



Darren Maher

Matheson
70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay
Dublin 2
Ireland

Tel: +353 1 232 2398
Email: darren.maher@matheson.com
URL: www.matheson.com

Darren Maher is a partner and Head of the Financial Institutions Group at Matheson. He has advised a wide range of leading domestic and international financial institutions on all aspects of financial services law and regulation including establishment and authorisation, development and distribution of products, compliance, corporate governance and re-organisations including cross-border mergers, schemes of arrangement, portfolio transfers and mergers and acquisitions.

Darren is a member of the firm’s Brexit Advisory Group and is advising a significant number of the world’s leading financial services firms on their plans to establish a regulated subsidiary in Ireland in order to maintain access to the EU single market following the United Kingdom’s exit from the EU.

Matheson

Headquartered in Dublin with offices in London, New York, Palo Alto and San Francisco, more than 650 people work across Matheson’s five offices, including 85 partners and tax principals and over 350 legal and tax professionals. Matheson concentrates on serving the Irish legal needs of internationally focused companies and financial institutions doing business in and from Ireland. Matheson’s clients include the majority of the Fortune 100 companies and over half of the world’s 50 largest banks. Matheson has worked closely with some of the world’s largest tech multinationals and high-profile start-ups, advising 7 of the top 10 global technology brands.

Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.com